

1313 North Market Street P.O. Box 951 Wilmington, DE 19899-0951 302 984 6000

www.potteranderson.com

Stephen C. Norman Partner Attorney at Law snorman@potteranderson com 302 984-6038 Direct Phone 302 658-1192 Fax

August 25, 2005

BY E-FILE

The Honorable Joseph J. Farnan, Jr. United States District Court
For the District of Delaware
844 North King Street
Wilmington, Delaware 19801

Re: Frank D. Seinfeld v. Craig R. Barrett, et al.,

D. Del., C.A. 05-298-JJF

Dear Judge Farnan:

As Your Honor may recall, defendants have requested oral argument (D.I. 73) on plaintiff's motion for summary judgment (D.I. 5) and on their two motions to dismiss: for failure to comply with Fed.R.Civ.P. 23.1 and for lack of subject matter jurisdiction (D.I. 15 and D.I. 19). Plaintiff does not oppose defendants' request for oral argument on all three motions (D.I. 74). Defendants believe that oral argument is important given the unusual procedural posture of this case and the issues presented by defendants' motions. Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment was brought only weeks after his complaint was filed and was made without the benefit of any discovery. Defendants' motions to dismiss raise issues about whether the complaint is ripe and whether it complies with the important demand requirement imposed by both Federal and Delaware law. Defendants are available to appear for oral argument on October 4, 12, 19, 20 and 26. If Your Honor's assistant would provide me with potential dates for the oral argument, I would be happy to coordinate scheduling with the parties and the Court.

I am available at Your Honor's convenience.

Respectfully,

Stephen C. Norman (#2686) snorman@potteranderson.com

SCN/mp:696357

cc: Clerk of the Court (By E-File)

Francis G. X. Pileggi, Esquire (By E-File)